

BRUNO'S HERETICAL DEFENCE & its EXPOSITION INTRODUCTION

*Pastor Bruno Deter and his heresies were exposed by Exposition Of Damnable Heresies (E.O.D.H.) and Dalton Bruce. **Subscribers**, and all who love the truth and the souls' salvation of men, are anxious to know whether or not he **repented**, after such scriptural and message-based exposition of his heresies. It is heartbreaking and disappointing to state that **he refused to do so**, though he was allowed thirty days, and further extension of time for several weeks.*

*After many weeks and ample space for repentance, he chose rather to formulate a defense. In his defense he has not addressed a single heresy which was exposed, but desperately embarked upon a **program of character assassination** of the author and his co-workers, saying "You and them are really the most nasty characters I ever met in my life". This was his response when informed concerning the publication of his heresies on our website: www.bethelthehouseofgod.net.*

If Pastor Bruno was confident that he preaches the truth, and that it came to him from God, as he boasted, he should be overjoyed for such publication of what he termed; "Established Christian Truths", which we identified as heresies, and would have been happy with its publication.

AFRAID OF PUBLICATION

Why is Pastor Bruno fearful, angry and disappointed by the publication of his doctrine? He is wise enough to understand that message believers will know that his heresies are identified with Frank's, whom many have rejected because of his filthy life and heresies, which are published on our website as Booklet No. 9. His purpose is to preach Frank's heresies but not be identified with Frank. What hypocrisy!

Rather than being overjoyed, he expressed anger, arrogance and bitterness towards us, especially to two former associates of his church: M. G. and G. B., saying of the latter: "He is a big dog in a manger", "Both were bitten by the mamba snake at the River Jordan", "G. B. is a heretic" etc. He further came against his education, ignoring that the Apostle Paul was an educated man before he came to the truth. He said: "The future will show. I will publish the devilish, destroying activities, especially of G. B. in details."

This was his attitude, treatment and bitter responses to Brother G. B., when he sent him two correspondences by mail:

On the backside of the envelope of the first, which was opened, was written: "Without exception, it goes for the future and all of your letters: Delivery refused;" (Stamp & Signature). "I'm not interested in any correspondence with you. If with or without sender from you it will be thrown into the garbage at once. So you better save your efforts."

The second letter was torn to pieces, including all of the quotes, and placed in his mail box.

When challenged by the Word of God, concerning exposing a man's past, of which Christ forgave him, he backed up and returned to his sheep clothing. I quote my former letter to him:

LETTER AGAINST BRUNO'S FIRST DEFENCE AGAINST

"Dear Brother Bruno,

*Greetings in the name of Jesus, our soon coming King, to judge the quick and the dead, in truth and in righteousness. Thank you for your letter. I noted the full contents and I am greatly alarmed to have it as your **defense against the exposition of your heresies**.*

Thanks for your explanation of why you wrote to me in German. You have expressed 100% confidence in my translator and his translation of your letter, thus you should have the same confidence in

his translated work. But in contradiction, you expressed a lack of confidence in the translated manuscript. How foolish! In your letter, you corrected me about dealing with you in a bad manner. What about your open accusation against a repented son of God, whose sins are under the blood and cast into the sea of forgetfulness? God said that he will remember them no more.

How can you dare make such accusations against a brother your defense against my exposition? Who shall lay anything to the charge of God's elect? Who is he that condemneth? It is Christ that died - Romans 8. Your defense is departed, thus you **accused a sheep of God**, together with Brother Gaitan, to save your face. Remember, Satan is the accuser of the brethren (Revelation 12).

You have even threatened to make this brother's mistakes of his past life public, when you yourself are afraid of publicity. Should we go back and dig up the files of your past life and bring them to the public? Since you have chosen to be nasty in bringing up this brother's past life, I am under obligation to **bring it to his attention**, since I refuse to make my ears a **garbage can** in receiving your slanders. The wise man said, "A talebearer separateth very friends." This is your purpose and we would not fall for it. Your strategy is exposed. You are trying to paint a nasty picture that I have sinners as ministers of E.O.D.H. Brother G. B. is a sinner saved by grace, a beloved brother of the message, and **does not claim to be a minister**. So don't try to bring a stain upon E.O.D.H. It cannot help you in this exposition.

NOT THE VOICE OF THE ARCHANGEL

I fully and openly declare to you and the rest of the world that I am not the voice of the archangel, nor did Brother Lambert ever make such a statement. I am fully aware that Christ is the voice of the archangel (I Thessalonians 4:16). No one preaches me as such. No one under my ministry believes such heresies. All my tapes and books bear record against that lie. I publicly denied any kind of ministry of prophet, 8th messenger, son of man, Christ etc, and denounced it as heresy on E.O.D.H book 16, thus your friends told you a lie, and who they heard also heard lies, and all of you should repent for entertaining such lies. So the above is the clarification of your question which cast a **shadow of accusation**.

I learnt by experience that when heretics cannot fight me on the Word, they employ such lies to discredit E.O.D.H., but their folly shall be made manifest. Be it known unto you Brother Bruno, that if you fail to repent of your heresies, this letter, in opposition to your defense, will be published on my website, along with the exposition of your heresies, in both the English and German languages. Your further defense is welcomed before July 16th 2010. I am challenging you to **repent of your heresies** before 16th July 2010. **Refute my exposition if you can**. It is a total impossibility!

In your **cunning defense**, you spoke of your tapes being stolen, imprisonment etc., etc. You should not be afraid of what you stated on your sermons. You are scared to death. Why do you care about your tapes reaching into other hands? Your threats of imprisonment is not a defense against your exposure. Your church is a public church; therefore your sermons are treated in like manner by the law. They are not copyrighted. All who attend should have access without penalty.

Yes, my brothers have all rights to send out this document by my permission, now and before 16th July. That deadline is not to start sending out our manuscript, but to expose you publicly on our website if you fail to repent of your heresies. Any soul, whom you have deceived with your heresies, should be informed at any time.

RIGHTS TO EXPOSE HERESIES

Please be assured that I am neither John the Baptist, Jesus nor any of the apostles, and I don't have to be someone special, but just a servant of God, to expose any heresy and any heretic. Read Jude, a servant of God, also Acts 7; Stephen the Deacon. So your objection to my exposition is unscriptural and the labels

that I placed upon heretics are scriptural. Your exposition will be, without any alterations, published on my website.

Be not afraid, I have **your completed diagram**. Do you remember posting one to me, many years ago? I wanted to be kind to you and did not make your heresies public, and besides, I have received, in recent weeks, your completed diagram, which in itself is the very proof that you are preaching Frank's heresies, and most of your heresies are hinged on that diagram. Thus, no one is misunderstanding. That's a lie.

I noticed that you are now **bringing up the faults** you found with me and E.O.D.H. It proves my point that you are a jelly-fish minister, based upon Frank's heresies. Now, to escape the dragnet of the exposition of your heresies, you projected a lie that we are misunderstanding you. **We did not**, as you are based on Frank's heresies, and we perfectly understand you both.

I challenge you here to **quote the subject** which we misunderstood, inclusive of the various statements. I have no tapes to surrender to you, you are trying to hide your heresies and it is impossible. Your tapes are further evidence of what we have written and exposed concerning your damnable heresies. May the Lord bless you with eyesalve and deliver you from the damnable heresies. Amen!

Your Servant For Christ Sake, Dalton Bruce"

BRUNO'S SECOND DEFENCE

After many weeks Pastor Bruno sent me a manuscript. He stated that truths were called heresies. Thus, based upon Romans 2: 4, he could not repent on "human command" or the "push of a button." The goodness of the Lord did not lead him to repentance.

He tried to defend himself, as I said before, by **character assassination** and the new subject of the Holy Ghost, to evade the real subject of the exposition of his heresies. He desperately tried to prove his seniority in the message and his righteousness. He asked questions to doubtful disputations. To discredit me, he asked: "Have you received the Holy Ghost since you believed?", inferring a lie that has been in circulation for many years that E.O.D.H. ministers and I do not believe that anyone has the Holy Ghost. To this I answered a positive "Yes!"

Pastor Bruno did not fail to revive old heresies or **formulate new ones** to discredit and defend the exposition of his heresies by E.O.D.H. Poor, deluded Bruno was offended and stumbled at my title to his exposition: "Bruno Deter And Dr. Frank's Heresies Vs W. M. Branham, insomuch that I had to read and spell for him at the same time. He failed to realize that the message and the messenger are one and the same. He stated that I have used W. M. Branham's quotations for the exposition of his heresies, that he is based upon the Word, and he is **not a Branhamite**.

Based upon the false assumption of censoring the prophet's teachings, Bruno further confirmed his unbelief and disrespect to the message and the prophet by isolating the definition of a heresy to mean **only if one misinterpreted the Word** and not the message (**Heretical Article No. 861**). Any interpretation added to the message of Paul was a heresy. It is evident that Bruno, like his father Frank, **does not believe all the message** of W. M. Branham. If he did, he would be fully convinced by the Word and quotations of the prophet, employed in his exposition. Instead, **he passed them off as being "Branhamite"**, and rejected them, calling them "dirt and trash", and saying that he is not interested in my ideas. At the same time, in order to hide from his exposition, he used the prophet's quotations conveniently. What deceit!

NO OBLIGATION TO A HERETIC

FORMULATED HERESIES

Pastor Bruno is deceived by the heresies of Dr. Frank, insomuch that when his heresies were exposed and demands made upon him to repent within thirty days, he actually assumed that he was invited to a dialogue. He further falsely assumed that I was obliged by the scripture to discuss the subject with him before publication. Hence he proudly asked if my expositions were sent to his progenitor Frank and his brother, Millevo of the Philippines (Bethel Website Article: "[Mr. Ron Millevo Challenged And Exposed By Dalton Bruce And E.O.D.H.](#)" & Dr. Frank's Exposition - Booklet No. 9 -bethelthehouseofgod.net).

*Pastor Bruno, by the same token, addressed as hypocrisy, the circulation of his exposition to some who are deceived by his heresies. I have no scriptural obligation to a heretic. Bruno's understanding is to admonish a heretic before you expose him. No, the scripture says **before you reject him.***

Like other heretics, sympathizers and love-gospel preachers, he is certainly implying Matthew 18: 15-18. This scripture is isolated to a personal offense between believers in a church. It does not include the false prophets, heretics etc., neither in a general sense, nor on a national or international basis.

*This is another example of the diabolic **power of a heresy.** He remains bound by the heresies of Dr. Frank, though a man declared the truth to him.*

***Please note:** The heretical statements of Pastor Bruno were paraphrased and identified by heretical numbers; they are as follows:*

Heretical Article No. 855: It is a public shame on the message to expose the heresies of message ministers.

Heretical Article No. 856: One must have a special office to identify and expose heresies in the message.

Heretical Article No. 857: If a minister tries to expose heresies, he is taking the seat or the office of the prophet.

Heretical Article No. 858: E.O.D.H. teaches that a man is Joshua and the voice of the archangel.

Heretical Article No. 859: Bruce makes every one a heretic who has a different understanding of certain statements of the prophet.

Heretical Article No. 860: A pastor's correction and exposition of false doctrine is isolated to his local assembly. He should not interfere with the doctrine of other ministers and churches.

This heresy he formulated by misinterpreting a statement of the prophet, reminding me that I am not a prophet, teacher or apostle. Out of the same deceitful tongue, he is trying to accuse me of sitting in the prophet's seat as Joshua the prophet (Romans 13).

The definition of a heresy is isolated to mean only if one misinterpreted the Word and not the message.

Heretical Article No. 862: The written Word should be used to expose heresies, and not the quotations of the prophet.

Heretical Article No. 854: Heretics must be informed by brochures before they are publicly exposed....3

BRUNO'S heretical defence & its exposition

Bruno Deter: Dear Pastor Bruce,

... Referring to your email dated June 26th 2010, you wrote to me the following among others: You must repent and acknowledge your wrong and damnable heresies, up to 16th July it is more than enough time for a man to repent.

Your last sentence in this mail reads: Stand up like a man and answer to the call, **or you are going public, come 16th July 2010, repent or perish! Amen**

... This is not the Holy Spirit, Pastor Bruce, this is antichrist.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *That is my scriptural rights; to expose any heresy, and subsequently, the heretic, after I am satisfied that he has rejected truth that was able to deliver him. I was not under obligation to wait upon the expiry of a grace period. Yet, I did so before exposing your heresies on my website. **Matthew 18: 15-18** is not against this action, but gave guidelines for believers within a church, who have offenses against someone. You have **no scripture** which binds me to your heretical contentions.*

Titus 1: 9-11 <Holding fast the faithful word as he hath been taught, that he may be able by sound doctrine both to exhort and to convince the gainsayers.

For there are many unruly and vain talkers and deceivers, specially they of the circumcision:

*Whose **mouths must be stopped**, who subvert whole houses, teaching things which they ought not, for filthy lucre's sake.>*

II Timothy 4: 1-4 <I charge thee therefore before God, and the Lord Jesus Christ, who shall judge the quick and the dead at his appearing and his kingdom;

*Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; **reprove, rebuke**, exhort with all longsuffering and doctrine.*

For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears;

And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables.>

Bruno Deter: Please understand that I can only repent of things that I have been convicted of by the Holy Spirit through the word of God. Repentance on human command, at the push of a button, so to say, do not exist in the bible... Romans 2:4... the goodness of God leadeth thee to repentance?

E.O.D.H. Answer: *God commands men to repent by men. Peter commanded them on the day of Pentecost, to repent and be baptized; Acts 2: 38. The apostles were sent forth and preached: "Repent for the Kingdom of God is at hand." All repentance came by human commands. They were anointed of the Spirit. The Holy Ghost **pushed the button**. So it does exist in the Bible, only that you have not experienced it.*

*Well spoken. Only the goodness of the Lord can lead a man to repentance. I trust that you have not passed that stage. There is another scripture in **Hebrews 6: 4-6**. It speaks of the impossibility to renew men unto repentance. I hope this is not the case.*

Bruno Deter: Pastor Bruce, your course of action and presumptuous request to me to repent or perish unequivocally prove to me that you are in no wise interested in a truthful content analysis of articles of faith (which you have already called "heretical articles") or doctrines, but you are interested in something else.

Your credo is: Bruno Deter is a heretic. He has, so to speak, taken all of his doctrines nearly from Dr. Frank, even partly stolen some (which is nothing but a lie).

E.O.D.H. Answer: *I was hoping, with very **weak faith**, that you may repent and avoid me having your heresies exposed on my website. Your period of grace was to give you a chance for repentance. I did not invite you to a **dialogue**, as I am fully convinced that it is impossible for you to defend your heresies, even as your father Frank was wise enough not to even open his mouth. **He was defeated**. Yes, you stole his heresies. Your doctrine, when compared with his heresies, is the proof. **All will see** from my website that you are lying about this fact.*

Bruno Deter: You are primarily not interested in a content analysis of “heretical articles”, as you call it, but as fast as possible publicising and public condemnation in the internet or your books.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Rubbish! If that is all you gathered, after reading most of E.O.D.H. books for years, you are very **shallow-minded**. If you are so bright, and this was the case, by spiritual discernment, why did you not correct me, ask a question or **challenge me** on my teachings? Why did you support it with money and distribution of the books? You played the part of a hypocrite, insomuch that **Brother Bading and Brother Gaitan** could not stand your hypocrisy, and were forced to leave your church, because you were a two-timer, jellyfish preacher, and a big compromiser. You have chosen to preach Frank’s heresies instead of the Word and full message of W. M. Branham. You are guilty of **evil-speaking** both men, who are firm believers of the message. God bless Brothers Bading and Gaitan. Repent for your evil deeds against such gallant men. Amen!*

Bruno Deter: In any way, Pastor Bruce, I will now try with the help of the LORD - and not in a rush - to meet your lies, presumptuousness, abuse and heresies.

I know it seems to be hard for you to wait, because you worked on that brochure for a long time yourself... After such terrible accusations against me and after so much spiritual dirt and trash that you throw against me, I cannot carry on as usual.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Who told you, that I was working on this brochure for a long time? Were you with me? Now, who is presumptuous? No wonder why you cannot **carry on as usual**. I presented the truth to you, with the quotations of the prophet, and you rejected it, calling it “**dirt and trash**.” Shame on you! The exposition of your heresies took a matter of weeks, simply because it is based upon Dr. Frank’s heresies, which were already exposed.*

Bruno Deter: Presumptuous is also the title of your brochure, it reads: **Bruno Deter’s and Dr. Frank’s Heresies versus W.M. Branham**. It is furthermore presumptuous to express a prejudgement already in the title of your brochure, identifying me and Dr. Frank as people who are in contrast to the doctrine of W.M. Branham.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *The title of this article is **not presumptuous**. If you had any spiritual sense, you would understand that when I say, “W. M. Branham”, **it also speaks of all that he represents**: God, the Word and the message; for the message and the messenger are one. It is not presumptuous, simply because, you preach and teach Frank’s heresies. My prejudgment is my scriptural right. I solicited you to repent. I did **not invite you to a discussion**. You and Frank are thoroughly exposed, because you have perverted the message of Malachi 4: 5-6.*

Bruno Deter: It is also presumptuous of you to write: The judgments of God hang over you bastard born prophets, Frank and Bruno, you big cowards... Pastor Bruce, I leave the judgement of these words to the just judge. You know, our LORD taught, that we must give account for every idle word at the day of judgement. That is also true for Pastor Dalton Bruce from Trinidad.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Yes, I am not afraid to **stand in the judgment** against you, and meet the very same words that I have spoken; for you are bastard- born, just like Frank, because you preach the same doctrine. I repeat: "The judgments of God hang over you bastard- born prophets, Frank and Bruno, you big cowards." W. M. Branham said;*

Quote W. M. B.: *129 Now, false teachers will bring false births. True prophets will bring the Word, the birth of the Word, Christ. **False prophets will bring false birth**, the birth of churches, the birth of creeds, the birth of denominations. (You Must Be Born Again 61-1231m).*

Bruno Deter: According to your own statements, you have carefully studied Dr. Frank's texts and documented your conclusion in some of your books. Why then the repeated reference to Dr. Frank?

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Simply that message believers would be able to **compare your heresies** with his, and know that you have the **same spirit**. You left his church but never his heresies. You do not want the message-world to know this. Thus, you tried very hard to stop the publication of your heretical exposition.*

Heretical Article No. 854: Heretics must be informed by brochures before they are publicly exposed.

Bruno Deter: Why do you not deem it necessary to send him your pamphlet as well, especially when he is mentioned there umpteen times with extremely negative attributes. The same goes for Ron Millevo of the Philippines, who experienced a shameful defeat in your sight. Has he received your brochure as well?

...Your brochure was spread in Germany already one week before I got it, without giving me a chance to make a statement.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *I am dealing with you. I have already dealt with Frank and his umpteenth heresies. I am **not under obligation** to send a brochure to any heretic before I expose him; nor do I have to wait for the end of a grace period given to repent; be it you, **Frank, Millevo or Gan**. Brother Branham was not under obligation to send neither the church ages nor the seals to **the pope**, before he exposed him as the antichrist. Nor did he send a brochure to Oral Roberts and Billy Graham, before he preached them as the blinding angels to Sodom, and also Jannes and Jambres withstanding Moses in the end time; nor when he preached Elvis Presley as the modern Judas. Where is your scriptural sense? **How readest thou?** Yes, Millevo and many other heretics got my brochures; just the same as you got one before it went to my website. Have you become **so bewitched** by heresies that you are defending the heretic Millevo and his damnable heresies?*

Bruno Deter: To an apostolic faith and manner of life also belongs an apostolic behaviour. Unfortunately, I am of the opinion that you are utterly lacking that. Your behaviour is **antichrist**.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *My behaviour is like Christ's. Read Matthew 23, and call Him antichrist if you can. Read II Peter 2. Tell me if you can call him an antichrist? My writing is definitely apostolic. So I guess you are talking about a jellyfish-faith like yours.*

Bruno Deter: So, who are you, Pastor Dalton Bruce, that you make yourself a judge over others? Romans 2:1 ...John 7, 51 ...(Deuteronomy 1, 16 and 17)?...You act contrary to the bible and therefore antichrist. Who art thou that judgest another man's servant? ...(Romans 14:4)... There is **one lawgiver**, who is able to destroy: who art thou that judgest another? (James 4:12).

E.O.D.H. Answer: *I don't have to be anybody. I am not judging you. **The Word is judging you.** The Word has condemned your heresies, together with Frank's. They are of the devil! **You had many years to say what you had to say.** You read many E.O.D.H. books. You supported the work with money and in many other ways. **We invited** your questions for many years. Don't play the part of a hypocrite now. Therefore you are acting like the **antichrist, and not me. It is the liberty of believers to try any apostle:***

IT IS THE WORD THAT TRIES THEM

Quote W.M.B.: 83-1 *"Thou hast tried them that say they are apostles, and are not, and hast found them liars."*

...What right has a people to try those who call themselves apostles? And how do they try them?...

Here it is in Galatians 1:8, "But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have (already) preached unto you, let him be accursed."

*... Those Ephesians knew that Word as Paul had taught it. They were full of the Holy Ghost by the laying on of Paul's hands. They looked those false apostles in the eye and said, "You are not saying what Paul said. You are, therefore, false." ... **It is not you that really tries the apostle, and prophet and teacher, IT IS THE WORD THAT TRIES THEM.** (Ephesian Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.3).*

*It is the Word that judges. Galatians 1: 8; believers judged even angels by the Word. **Challenge this statement of the prophet if you can.***

Heretical Article No. 855: It is a public shame on the message to expose the heresies of message ministers.

Bruno Deter: It is sad enough, that you have brought enough public shame over the message by the way you publish discrepancies in doctrines etc.. In this respect, Bro. Branham used to treat the brothers completely different, as you probably know.

E.O.D.H. Answer: ***Impossible! Did Jesus bring public shame** when he exposed the Pharisees? (Matthew 23, St. John 6 & 8). Did Jude or Peter, likewise, when they exposed heretics? Did they continue to bring public shame for two thousand years, around the world, by their E.O.D.H. epistles? Brother Branham was **not a Jellyfish**. He called out the wrongs of men, from every walk of life, even as Jesus gave Judas a sop. Have you never read where **Paul withstood Peter publicly**, because he was wrong? Don't give me this kind of "gentleman" jellyfish-type heresy. Brother Branham was not a compromiser, as you want to make him. You studied too much of Frank's heresies, and **not enough of Branham's doctrine**. You are afraid to be called a Branhamite, but not ashamed to be identified with Frank's heresies.*

Bruno Deter: A few years ago, referring to your dispute with Samuel Roberts, your fellow countryman who is living in Germany, an outsider wrote: **war among the Branhamites.**

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Did you not also have a dispute with this heretic? Then you were in that war, likewise. He is either a German resident or your fellow-citizen. So that does not put a feather in your cap, in identifying him with my country.*

Bruno Deter: I'm not a Branhamite, but a son of God and therefore I would like to handle things differently with you. But certainly not in the way you do it.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *I know that. I can see from your heresies that you **do not believe all the message of the prophet**, and you do not want to bear the reproach of being called a Branhamite. Thus, you are technically implying that I am a Branhamite, because **I used the Word and how he interpreted the Word**, to defeat your heresies, which are also Frank's. Your unbelief will find you out. I am privileged to be called a Branhamite for believing all of his message. You don't!*

Bruno Deter: For your recollection a short testimony: When I was 12 years old, I already believed that Bro. Branham was a man sent from God. The faith in the ministry of Bro. Branham which was identified in the Scripture and the supernatural confirmation of his ministry was, what set me free from the world in 1967. Not only did I believe and obey Acts 2, 38 but I also experienced it and that in 1968, in the message (and not in a denomination), to use our terminology.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *You boast yourself a follower of W. M. Branham, from the age of twelve, but you were **indoctrinated** by Frank and his heresies, in the name of the prophet and his message. Are you trying to **evade the exposition** of your heresies by getting into the subject of the Holy Ghost and your testimony? Or did you receive the lie of Satan which says, "Bruce preaches that nobody has the Holy Ghost?" Your questions to me are very **absurd, nonsensical and senseless**, yet I will answer you.*

*I don't doubt that you were privileged to believe that W. M. Branham was a man sent from God, but you **do not believe his message**, but believe Frank's heresies. Hundreds of thousands believed Brother Branham to be a man sent from God; just like Nicodemus believed about Jesus; but have perverted his message. You and Frank are using his name as **a platform** to propagate your heresies.*

*You presume to have experienced Acts 2: 38 in Spirit, but in reality, you have taken into your soul **the spirit of Heretic Frank**. I certainly do not want **what you received**. If you had truly experienced Acts 2: 38, in Spirit, you could have never swallowed Frank's heresies, and further to this, refuse to believe the statements of the prophet which I presented to you for your deliverance. You certainly have **the ghost of Frank**. The bride needs another outpouring of the Holy Ghost for the rapture (Ch. Ages 377-380). You have denied God's promise.*

Quote: *188 ... How can a man or a woman who claims to be filled with the Holy Spirit, which is the Word (That right?), ... and deny the Word that He wrote? (The Harvest Time 64-1212).*

Bruno Deter: Where can I find the refreshing coolness of the spirit in you? Where does Calvary find its appropriate place in you or even the baptism of the Holy Spirit?

E.O.D.H. Answer: *The coolness of the Holy Spirit can be found in **Matthew 23**, with the same Spirit that was upon Jesus. Many of those things can be safely applied to you and Frank. You are as graves which appear not, you are like unto whited sepulchers, and your **throats are open sepulchers**. You sound like the godly old priest versus the renegade.*

THE GODLY OLD PRIEST VERSUS THE RENEGADE

Quote W.M.B.: 188 *I don't care how good you are... It's the Word that gives the test. Them Pharisees was twice as humble as Jesus. He went around tearing up their churches, and throwing them out, and beating them out, and everything like that.*

*And this **godly old priest**, you know, why... If I was having a meeting against Him this morning; I'd say, "Who come to you when you were sick, prayed for you? That godly old priest. Who loaned papa that money when his crops failed? That godly old priest. Who dedicated you to God for a life of service? That godly old priest. Who was it, when you was in jail, come and visits you? That godly old priest. And **this young renegade called Jesus**, what does he do? Called your pastor a snake. See? See, it's not by the fruits. It's by the Word. "Man shall not live by fruit alone, but by every Word that proceeds out of the mouth of God." (The Harvest Time 64-1212).*

THE FRUITS OF THE SPIRIT BETWEEN JESUS AND THE KIND OLD PRIEST

Quote: 49-1 ... *"Oh, they're meek and gentle and humble. Yes, sir. They got the fruit of the Spirit." That's no sign, not a bit. Let me give you the fruit of the Spirit between Jesus and the Pharisees: See which one had the fruit of the Spirit. What if I stood, as I started to say awhile ago, against Christ now for a minute? God forgive me for even saying it (See?), but just to show you something.*

*What if I come to you and said, "Say, you congregation, who is your friend? Who shows the fruit of the Spirit? **Your kind old priest**. Who comes to you in the hospital when you're sick? Your gentle old priest. That's right. Who is it that always loans you some money when you're up against it, in a tight place? You members of his congregation, don't you go to your kind old priest, and he loans you money?" See?*

*"Who is it that's always loving and kind and **showing the fruit of the Spirit**? Your kind old priest. ... "Who is this renegade called Jesus? ... What does he do when you all have a family argument? Who comes to you? Your kind old priest... And you have an argument against your neighbor over here, and your kind old priest comes and makes you up. Said, 'You all are children of God. You shouldn't do that.'"*

*"... What's this Jesus of Nazareth does?--tears the thing up. What does He do?--**lamblast your organization**. What does He do? **Called your priest a blind leader of the blind**. He called him a **snake in the grass**. He took the sacrifice that God ordained, and kicked the tables over, and throwed the money out, and looked upon them with anger. Did you ever see your priest look like that? Now, where's the fruit of the Spirit?" See? (Souls That Are In Prison Now 63-1110m).*

Bruno Deter: Pastor Bruce: Have you received the Holy Ghost since you believed?

E.O.D.H. Answer: ***Absolutely!** That is why I am able to stay with the word and the whole message of the prophet, and expose the heresies of all heretics. You don't have it. Thus you have stayed with Frank's heresies.*

Bruno Deter: If not, you have nothing to do behind the pulpit.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *I received the Holy Ghost. God placed me behind the pulpit. And all heretics, to hide from their exposure, endeavour to see me leave the pulpit.*

Bruno Deter: To which church did you go to, when you were a young believer?

E.O.D.H. Answer: *What are you trying to prove? There is only one church (Matthew 16). I went to that one. I was never attached to organisations, at no time. God supernaturally called me to the end time message, by His audible voice. Pastor Bruno, it is hard to kick against the pricks. **Beware sir!***

Bruno Deter: Where did you contribute to?

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Certainly not to Dr. Frank, but to Jesus Christ and His Kingdom. What a foolish question! That was certainly motivated by the **works of the law**, even like your testimony reflected.*

Bruno Deter: Pastor Bruce, you certainly did not obtain your hubris from God.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Who are you and where were you when God spoke to me and called me into the ministry? You make yourself **a carnal judge**.*

Heretical Article No. 856: One must have a special office to identify and expose heresies in the message.

Bruno Deter: **Who in the world are you** to rise up above all message preachers and to determine what “heresies” versus W.M. Branham are?

E.O.D.H. Answer: *I am a **sinner saved by grace**. Any preacher that cannot do that has no right behind the pulpit. All must be able to divide truth from error, and the Spirit of Christ from antichrist. Even believers are at liberty to identify heresies and curse the heretics or angels (Galatians 1: 8). **No one has to be someone great**. “Who am I?” A servant of the most High God, called by His audible voice forty three years ago. I don’t go around boasting about these things, so excuse my testimony. Some boasted against Paul at Corinth. He was compelled to speak of his experiences to combat their superiority complex. (II Corinthians 10:8-18, Chap. 11: 1, 5-33 & Chap. 12: 1-12).*

I have exposed heretics like you and Frank. You are telling a lie by saying “all message preachers.” Seemingly you are seeking their support and sympathy. Defend the heretics I exposed, if you can. Let’s go public on it.

Heretical Article No. 857: If a minister tries to expose heresies, he is taking the seat or the office of the prophet.

Bruno Deter: Even Bro. Branham himself did not claim to know everything or to possess the full knowledge.

Who in the world are you, Pastor Bruce, that you **have set yourself in the seat of Bro. Branham presumptuously**, like in old times the scribes and Pharisees sat in Moses’ seat (Matthew 23,2).

I advise you: **Leave this seat** voluntarily before the LORD takes you out of it. It is not meant for you. **Let the messenger sit in his seat.**

E.O.D.H. Answer: *A nobody in the world, but somebody in Christ. Where did I claim to know everything and the full knowledge? You cannot prove that! I challenge your **lie!** What hypocrisy is this? After*

you and Frank dethroned Brother Branham, in the minds of the people, and Frank ascended the throne, and you stand as one of his throne guards, with hypocrisy on your lips, you pretend to protect his seat.

Heretical Article No. 858: E.O.D.H. teaches that a man is Joshua and the voice of the archangel.

Bruno Deter: Nobody needs new interpretations of the message, be it from you or anybody else. We have already had enough “**Joshuas**”, who pretended to lead the people into the promised land.

We have already had enough “**voices of the archangel**”, who wanted and still want to claim a continuing ministry after the message was already sent forth.

One tries to hide it from the people, but”for there is nothing covered, that shall not be revealed; and hid, that shall not be known.” (Matthew 10, 26)

You presume to be the “know-it-all” in the message. Do not forget that you are only a pastor and certainly not an apostle, teacher or prophet.

There are enough preachers and pastors, who have been believers for a longer period of time than you and are acquainted with the message of the prophet far better than you are. You are not the only duck in the lake or pebble on the beach (Bro. Branham’s saying). Humble yourself. **Get out of the prophet’s seat.**

E.O.D.H. Answer: *No, this is sufficient. You and Frank filled the sum, to the overflow. You are identified with Joshua Frank. You and Frank get off the prophet’s seat, you big bastards! You have not failed to act like many other heretics, who could not defend themselves against my exposition. When defeated by the Word and message of the prophet, they resort to carnal **weapons of war**, the love-gospel and lies with subtlety like the serpent. You falsely indicate that I claim to be Joshua the prophet or that I am following a Joshua or some man as the voice of the archangel and I am hiding it from the people.*

*You know better than what you have stated, having **educated yourself** by many E.O.D.H. books, and financially supported their printing and distribution, even personally. E.O.D.H. book 16, (Pages 302-303) published in **August 2007**, contains a public declaration and **denial of any such office claims:***

DECLARATION: SON OF MAN HERESY

Quote Declaration: *“Greetings in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ. It has become necessary for me to send out this advisory to like faith believers and ministers. This is not to discipline you as an individual. A very sensitive issue came to my knowledge. **Enemies of the cross and E.O.D.H. are accusing me of claiming to be the son of man**, or having the son of man ministry, and also that I am a prophet and 8th messenger. Please do me the favor to **oppose any person** who tries to propagate this heresy. I realize that this is the devil at work to bring up a bad name against the ministry of E.O.D.H. and kill its influence.*

I have never propagated this error which says that “I am the son of man” or I have the son of man ministry, because it is wrong. In all my preaching and writing, I have not indicated, preached, or established that heresy, but on the contrary, have denied any kind of ministry, being very conscious of fanaticism which exists around the world. I tried to shun any kind of indication that identifies me with such fanaticism. I corrected ministers through the years who indicated any such calling to me. Thus there are no groups or individuals that I know who believe such a heresy.

I declare positively and affirmatively that I have never claimed the ministry of a prophet, son of man or Christ, as such claims are wrong. I have only claimed over the past 40 years that I am a preacher of righteousness and am awaiting the placing of sons of God, which is adoption by the last outpouring of the Holy Spirit, which will reveal to the sons of God what office they each hold in the body. I trust that this advisory will be of help to like faith believers in stopping the work of the enemy. May the Lord bless you.” (E.O.D.H. book 16, pages 302-303).

Also many sermons preached for decades confirmed the same by several churches, ministers and thousands of people. You have wilfully closed your eyes to these facts to be nasty and misdirect the thoughts

*of believers from the real issue of your heresies to save your face. You have clearly demonstrated your hypocrisy by pretending to protect the prophet's seat from Bruce. Now, who is presumptuous? Who is a liar and who is a hypocrite? In this very letter, you said that I am not a prophet nor an apostle. So you know better than what you insinuate. Besides, my letter to you with such denials proves your deceit, lies and hypocrisy, **nasty intentions and determination** to use this wicked lie to evade your exposition.*

Bruno Deter: You act like an executioner over everyone, who dare to have a different view than you... This is not the Holy Spirit, Pastor Bruce.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Yes, I am an **ordained executioner**, against all heresies, and subsequently, all heretics; and it is the Holy Spirit at work.*

*Romans 13: 5 <...For he is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain: for he is the minister of God, a revenger **to execute wrath** upon him that doeth evil.>*

Heretical Article No. 859: Bruce makes every one a heretic who has a different understanding of certain statements of the prophet.

Bruno Deter: A quote to that context: Exposition of the seven Church ages – chapter 7 – Sardisean Church Age: "... The faith has been split into a thousand little parts and you are made **a heretic** if there is one of them you do not accept.

How true is this quote: one is made a heretic if there is only one you do not accept.

Pastor Bruce, this is exactly your behaviour. You make everybody a heretic who has a different understanding about certain statements of the messenger. Spiritually speaking you are unfortunately still in the Church age of Sardes.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *You have twisted the prophet's statement, directed to organisations, to dodge your exposition, because you have **no Word to defend yourself**. Your defence has not justified, a single heresy which I exposed. You are trying to **reach for a straw**, like a drowning man.*

Heretical Article No. 860: A pastor's correction and exposition of false doctrine is isolated to his local assembly. He should not interfere with the doctrine of other ministers and churches.

Bruno Deter: the messenger says the following:

THINKING.MAN'S.FILTER 65-0822E 22 "Now, pastor, I want you to know that, that this is only to my congregation I speak these things... But it's not for the world or the rest of the churches. You do whatever God tells you to do... I must preach it in the way that I see it, and the way it is revealed to me..."

Pastor Bruce, come to your senses. Who are you to **presumptuously** interfere in the matters of other local churches.

Stay on that level, that the Lord has meant for you and do not be a busybody in other men's matters.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *I am in my full senses always, forbidding the madness of Balaamite prophets. Again, you cannot help but **twist the prophet's statement**, to make it say what it is not saying. There are no quotations that say, all should preach the message as they see it. Thus, the prophet is speaking to organizations, not message ministers. "Wisdom is too high for a fool", says the wise man (Proverbs 24: 7).*

*You sound like the so-called Pentecostals. When the Word says, "I suffer not a woman to teach;" they say, "That was for the Corinthian church." You are **making it say** that it is for the Branham Tabernacle. If such be the case, the entire message is isolated to those people at the Tabernacle. How foolish! The entire world is a preacher's pulpit and he is commanded to preach to every creature (Matthew 28 – "Go into all the world... and preach unto every creature."). If what Brother Branham preached was isolated to his people; why did he go around the world several times? Why did he interfere in thousands of churches, preaching against immorality and false doctrine? Thus, your interpretation of that quotation is a **heresy**.*

*What is happening to you pastor, sir, is that you are **looking for a place to hide** from your exposition, and you are using the prophet's quotations conveniently. You do not even believe all the inspired quotations of Brother Branham. You **pick like a white fowl** on the smooth, baked bread, just like his vision said. You should be ashamed, together with Frank, to quote the prophet and use his name in your diabolical heresy, because you do not believe him.*

*You act like Dr. Frank and presume that you are based upon the Word. For this reason, both of you rejected major inspired statements of W. M. Branham. **Now, quote to me** one scripture that forbids my exposition of heresies to other churches. Neither you nor your progenitor have any scripture to support your isolations of the Word and exposition of heresy by any office gift. A preacher does **not have to be a prophet** to do so.*

*If God inspired an ass-donkey and did forbid the madness of the prophet Balaam, why can't He inspire any preacher to forbid the madness of you and Frank, clearly expressed in your heresies? Bruno sir, the donkey was seeing the Angel of the Lord in the way with a drawn sword, and was trying to save the life of the false prophet, thus he crushed his feet. **He got mad-vexed** and struck the donkey three times.*

*There were servants of God that exposed heresies such as Stephen the Deacon and Jude, etc. Even Titus and Timothy were commanded by the Apostle Paul to reprove and rebuke, charge some that they teach no other doctrine, and reject heretics after their first and second admonition etc. (I Timothy chapters 1 & 5, Titus 1: 13, 3:10-11). So, you don't have to be **someone special**, but just a servant of God, to expose any heresy and any heretic.*

Pastor you have perverted the scripture I Peter 4: 15, to make it say that I should not interfere in matters of other local churches interfering the exposition of your heresies.

I Peter 4: 15 <But let none of you suffer as a murderer, or as a thief, or as an evildoer, or as a busybody in other men's matters.>

Peter is warning the saints against interfering in sinful matters of men's evil deeds. You have no scripture to support your heresy. Would you repent for your perversion of the Word? How can you face this public shame and disgrace before your own followers and the message world? Repent friend. The exposition of your heresies is vivid. It's undefeatable. All will see how a little axe can cut down a big tree.

Heretical Article No. 861: The definition of a heresy is isolated to mean **only if one misinterpreted the Word** and not the message.

Bruno Deter: So the title of your brochure reads: Bruno Deter's Heresies versus W.M. Branham... What does the word "heresy" mean?

... Errors and heresies only arise when somebody deviates from the truth of the written Word of God. Bro. Branham formulated it like this: ... 1956/ Earnestly contending ... 56-0419 100 E 20: For I truly believe that any doctrine that is not based on the Bible is a heretic.

1957/ And then Jesus came - 57-0302 113: But then in being wrong, it's got to be proven wrong, and the proven wrong has to be God's Bible.

Pastor Bruce: Do get it. False doctrines or articles of faith must be proven wrong by the Bible. The Bible is God's standard. The Bible is God's filter against sin. God's filter does not let anything through that is not scriptural.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Based upon your false assumption of censoring the prophet's teachings, you further **confirmed your unbelief** and disrespect to the message and the prophet, by isolating the definition of a heresy to mean **only if one misinterprets the Word** and not the message of W. M. Branham. Any misinterpretation added to the message of Paul was a heresy, and even an angel would be accursed. Likewise any interpretation added or any revelation taken away from the message of W.M. Branham, is a heresy, and will suffer the same consequence; be it yours, Frank's or any other heretic.*

*Absolutely, to twist the Word is a heresy, but you are leaving off the part which says, "The Word of God comes to the prophet." You had no Word before W. M. Branham arrived here. Therefore, when you twist the revealed Word of God, **it is a greater heresy**. You and Frank are guilty of this evil deed.*

*The simplest meaning to "heresy" is what you and Frank have done to the message of the prophet and the Word. It needs no scholarly nor intellectual explanation. How you **twist 1 Peter 4:15** is even a simpler example. A fool shall not fail to understand that heresy.*

Bruno Deter: Pastor Bruce, the title of your brochure: Bruno Deter's Heresies versus W.M. Branham. Is in the light of the Scripture incorrect,

Bruno Deter as well as every other man can not be guilty of heresies versus W.M. Branham but at best of heresies versus the Scripture. For the Holy Scripture, the Word of God, the Bible is the measure of all things.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Bruno sir, you are being repetitious. You sound very confused. You stated as much above in this letter. Heresy is when you come against the Word of God, but the **Word of God comes to the prophet**. The Word came to Paul, the first church age messenger, and Branham, the last church age messenger. Since you and Frank twisted the **revealed Word**, you are two heretics who have formulated heresies. You have perverted the greatest message and the opening of the seals, and will reap what you have sown. Why not repent now, and avoid yourself that predicament, break the yoke of Dr. Frank upon your life? Have pity upon your soul friend. It is worth more than the world.*

Bruno Deter: At Jesus' temptation, the devil came with a quote of the Scripture to Jesus: It is written. Jesus answered: It is written again.

Today the devil comes to us saying: Bro. Branham said. As message believers we should be able to answer: Bro. Branham said again.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *That is exactly what I am saying. You cannot do so, since you do not believe it that way, and it will expose you. Yes, that was manifested when you quoted Brother Branham to formulate heresy #859 which says, "I should not expose heresies." I quoted; "It is written", and defeated your heresy. Humble yourself sir, obey God's Word and deliver God's people from Frank's heresies.*

Heretical Article No. 862: The written Word should be used to expose heresies, and not the quotations of the prophet.

Bruno Deter: Pastor Bruce, you predominantly work with Bro. Branham's quotes. Others can do the same. They could also answer you with the words: Bro. Branham said again. Thus everybody could easily make you a "message-heretic", to formulate it on the level of your understanding.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I challenge you to prove me a heretic publicly. We are already on the net. Prove to the world your big boast. You would further expose yourself as a disciple of Frank, and not W. M. Branham.

*You stated that I have used W. M. Branham's quotations for the exposition of your heresies, that you are based upon the Word and you are **not a Branhamite**. These are familiar terminologies which are employed by Dr. Frank, Mr. Gan and other heretics, to indicate that not all the teachings of W. M. Branham **are inspired of God**. Like these proud men, you have assumed yourself knowledgeable in the Word to **reject** those statements of the prophet, which you think were **not inspired of God**, since they contradict your heretical teachings.*

*These carnal expressions have further confirmed the fact that your and Frank's heresies are the same, only with some variations. Both of you are deceived by that false assumption and lie of Satan, and have **rejected major teachings of Malachi 4: 5-6**, by your heretical interpretations of the written Word. You both presume to understand the scriptures better than God's **divine interpreter**; W. M. Branham, and though you do not present your heresies in such terminologies, you pretend to believe the message and the prophet. Dr. Frank himself assumed the office of a prophet after Brother Branham. He purports himself as the "**German eagle**" (Heretical Article No. 536: E.O.D.H. Book 13, & page 284 book 16). You swallowed his heresies from a tender age.*

*Neither of you have any right to the interpretation of the scripture. Any man who speaks contrary to the major prophet messenger of the age, let him be accursed, says Paul **Galatians 1:8-9**. If your and Frank's heresies are right, then God sent W. M. Branham in vain. God forbid!*

***None understood** the Bible until W. M. Branham came to us with the interpretation of the written Word. When false prophets speak contrary to the revelation of the messenger's message, believers are to denounce them as antichrist.*

YOU ARE NOT SAYING WHAT THE MESSENGER SAID, THEREFORE YOU ARE FALSE

Quote W.M.B.: 83-1 "Thou hast tried them that say they are apostles, and are not, and hast found them liars."

*...**What right has a people to try those who call themselves apostles? And how do they try them?...***

Here it is in Galatians 1:8, "But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have (already) preached unto you, let him be accursed."

It was the apostles who brought the original Word to the people. That original Word could not change, not even a dot or dash of it. Paul knew it was God Who had spoken to him so he said, "Even if I come and try to give a second revelation, try to make one little change in what I gave originally, let me be accursed." You see, Paul knew that first revelation was correct. God can't give a first revelation, then a second revelation. If He did, He would be changing His mind.

*... God's Word can't change.... And see what those false apostles were doing. They came with their own word. **Those Ephesians** knew that Word as Paul had taught it. They were full of the Holy Ghost by the laying on of Paul's hands. They looked those false apostles in the eye and said, "**You are not saying what Paul said. You are, therefore, false.**" Oh, that sets my heart on fire. Get back to the Word! It is not you that really tries the apostle, and prophet and teacher, **IT IS THE WORD THAT TRIES THEM.** (Ephesian Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.3).*

***Away with your and Frank's heresies.** Neither of you are saying what the prophet has spoken. You both don't believe all of the message, thus **you don't recognize** the Word and quotations I used to expose*

your heresies, but fight by your private interpretation of the scripture. Dr. Frank proved himself wiser than you, in that he is silent like a dumb dog of Jerusalem. He could not bark against our exposition, least he confirmed his damnable heresy.

*You are both anointed by Satan and are trying to **destroy the weapon** which God sent to the church to defend herself against false anointed ones in the end time. There is no way for the elect to know **truth from error**, or Satan's servants from God's servants, but by the revealed Word of God which came by the prophet.*

*You, as a poor, deluded man, were offended and stumbled at my title to your exposition (Bruno Deter and Dr. Frank's Heresies versus W.M. Branham), insomuch that I had to **read and spell for you** at the same time. You failed to realize that the **message and messenger are one and the same**:*

Quote W.M.B.: *66 We find out that when a man comes, sent from God, ordained of God with the true THUS SAITH THE LORD, the message and the messenger are one and the same. Because he is sent to represent THUS SAITH THE LORD, Word by Word, so he and his message is the same. A denominational man under denominational auspices, he and the church is the "one." A theologian under theology made by some denomination, he and his message are one: church of theology, a theologian. It's correctly.*

*Then when a man comes with THIS SAITH THE LORD, he and the Message is one. And when Elijah come with THUS SAITH THE LORD, he and his message became one. Just as Jesus, when He come, He was the Word, Saint John 1. So the Word of God and **the messenger of the age was the selfsame thing** all the time. That's right. (Spiritual Food In Due Season 65-0718e).*

THE PROPHETS AND MESSENGERS WERE THE WORD FOR THEIR AGE

Quote W.M.B.: *91 Now, we find out that Elijah could say that because the message of the hour and the Word of God... Or the messenger, the Message--**the Message and the Word was exactly the same thing. The prophet, the Word, the Message;** messenger, Message, and man was the same. Jesus said, "If I do not the works that's written of Me, then don't believe Me." That's good. **Any man and his message is one.** (Spiritual Food In Due Season 65-0718e).*

John 10:34-35 *<Jesus answered them, Is it not written in your law, I said, Ye are gods? If he called them gods, unto whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken.>*

***Therefore the scripture was not broken** when I penned "Vs W.M. Branham". Nevertheless, in answering a fool according to his folly, the phrase "and his message" was added.*

*It is evident that you, like your father Frank, **do not believe all the message** of W. M. Branham. If you did, you would be fully convinced by the Word and quotations of the prophet, employed in my exposition to prove that you are wrong. **You passed them off as being "Branhamite"**, saying that you are not interested in my ideas. How foolish! God never sent you nor Frank to point out to the Bride, which statements of the prophet were inspired and scriptural, and which were not. You both have the same private interpretation of the scriptures (I Peter 1: 20-21).*

***I have proven scripturally** and by the statements of the prophet that the phrase "Vs W. M. Branham", is justified. Will you repent or will you pass them off as "Branhamite" or uninspired? The message-world is watching to see what you will do with this challenge.*

Bruno Deter: *Dogmas and articles have been formed from quotes, even words of Bro. Branham, the way the Jewish scribes and Pharisees once did with Moses' words. But Jesus, our LORD, in His struggle against heresies again and again referred to the written Word. That is the way we should think and act as well. It is written – it is written – it is written. With this I close the first part of my response to your brochure.*

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Jesus quoted the prophets, because the Word came to them. Will you tag on him a cultish title like you use against true ministers and believers; “Branhamites?” I am awaiting the other part of your response and defence. Nevertheless I challenge you to defend the exposition of your defence. If you are not convicted after reading this exposition, you will certainly confirm to yourself that you are void of the Holy Spirit. In your defence you misused the scripture and the quotations of the prophet and have formulated several heresies, but you failed to defend your heresies.*

Bruno Deter: Résumé

Pastor Bruce, I resolutely object to the statement in the title of your brochure “Bruno Deter’s and heresies versus W.M. Branham”. This statement is unbiblical, presumptuous, absurd and stems from a fanatical spirit and shows a lack of basic knowledge of the Bible. Once again: Not Bro. Branham is our absolute, but the Word, the Holy Scripture, the Bible, according to Bro. Branham’s own words.

He himself declares the following in the sermon “Anointed Ones at the End Time” 65-0725M:

63 “So we’ll know, to prove this, back and forth, by the Word; not by some man’s idea, some theory. I don’t care who he is; any other man, myself or anybody else. If he speaks not according to the law and the prophets, there is no Light in him.” Pastor Bruce, I am not interested in your ideas and theories.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *Then you don’t believe the Word or the prophet. The idea of W. M. Branham is also my idea. I have spoken in accordance to the Law-Word and the prophets, inclusive of W. M. Branham. You failed to do so. Thus you spoke the letter of the Word and are killing people. I spoke by the Spirit and it will give life to God’s people.*

Bruno Deter: With this, I declare the statement in the title of your brochure “Bruno Deter’s Heresies versus W.M. Branham” as a heretical article.

E.O.D.H. Answer: *This has proven your ignorance of the Word and message of Malachi 4: 5-6, to the world. Amen!*

GOETZ BADING ADMONISHMENT TO PASTOR BRUNO.

The following is Pastor Bruno Deter’s response to brother Goetz Bading, after he sent to him two letters and the following quotations of the prophet. Since he considers me as his partner in spiritual issues, I am using that opportunity to present the same to him. May the good Lord bless Pastor Bruno with eyesalve and may we spend eternity together is my prayer. But be it known to him that to achieve that goal he must repent for all his heresies. Amen!

I'm not interested in any correspondence with you. If with or without sender from you it will be thrown into the garbage at once. So you better save your efforts. My correspondence partner for spiritual issues is Pastor Dalton Bruce.

...
God bless you, my precious Götz Bading, and may He grant to you a genuine conversion.

Signature and PS: Thank you for the quotes.

Some more eyesalve - Quotes from the Prophet

Concerning Exposition, Heretical Articles 840 & 841

Delivered to bro. Bruno Deter by the 20th of August 2010
Source: The Revelation of the Seven Seals, VOG 1993

536-4 / 77 Now, the--the 8th chapter only reveals the scene of--the scene of the Seventh Seal where nothing else is revealed. Now, nothing is not revealed in the Seventh Seal. Now, has nothing to do with the 7th chapter of--of Revelation. It's the revealing of the Seventh Seal is **perfectly mute**.

237 Notice, the breaking of this Seal was so great that heaven was hushed by it in silence for the space of a half hour. Now, is it great? What is it? **It was hushed: heaven**. There wasn't a thing moved for a half hour.

243 And these holy Seraphims **hushed up**. Angels quit singing. (Whew.) Flying in the Presence of God, singing, "Holy, holy, holy..." they shut up. ...There was **silence, hush, deadly silence in heaven for a half hour**.

244 All the host of heaven was silent for this half hour, when this Seventh Seal mystery in the Book of Redemption **was broke open**. Think of it; but it's broke. The Lamb breaks it. You know what? They were awed by it, I believe. They didn't know. There it was; they just stopped.

THEREFORE NO THUNDER BUT ABSOLUTE SILENCE.

251 Now, notice, when the seven angels come forth to sound their trumpets, **there was one thunder**. [Brother Branham knocks on the pulpit once – Ed.] When Israel was gathered, there was a trumpet. When time shall be no more, the last trumpet... **One thunder**.

252 **But here is seven straight thunders right in a row**: one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, that perfect number. Seven thunders in a row, uttered not... making just--just one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, straight. [Brother Branham's knocked on the pulpit seven times – Ed.] Then heavens couldn't write that. Heavens can't know about it, nothing else, because there's nothing to go on. It's a relaxing time.

So the difference is certain: **One thunder** during the seven seals at the beginning. **He's demonstrating it by one-time knocking on the pulpit**

In contrast to the **seven thunders** at the seventh seal; for that reason **he's knocking seven times**. It couldn't be more evident.

Every day we can find something new in the Message of the Prophet – unless we wouldn't even search and expect nothing.

Therefore nobody can say he could not learn anything new when he's upright.

One may think it has to be the proper transmitter so that he can accept it; but this one will won't get anything because he's lacking humility.

It is not a matter of transmitters but it has to do with the Word.

Here comes a set of quotes to be found in **one** message (that's to say not scrappy by many); a message translated by Ewald Frank very insufficiently and in part falsifying:

Proving His Word 64 - 0816.

When you study them you will find out they are confirming the things that Dalton Bruce has written to you.

I don't have to refer these quotes to your heresies explicitly because I think you're able to connect them properly.

(Delivered by the 1st of Sept 2010)

157 ...He (Satan) taught Eve to doubt It, taught Moses, he taught all of them to try to doubt It.

158 He's teaching you to try to doubt It. And you that's sitting right here now, if you want me to call your names, it's been, Satan's been trying a long time to get you to doubt me. Don't you do that. Sister, if you do that, well, you...or, not me, but just doubt. Just believe this Word. You don't have to believe me, but you believe This.

- *Who will tear it apart won't have no Prophet any longer*
- *Who will interpret that the Prophet means the Scriptures alone will fall back into the 7th church age*
- *Because that is Mal 4 is not necessary. And that will open the doors to any demonic heresy*
- *So one can never get out of Laodicea*
- *In this case one could be a ONE MAN DENOMINATION*
- *Who feels that would be "Branhamism" is lost*

159 ..."Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every Word that proceeds out of the mouth of God."

160 What, how does he live? By, "Well, if you just take some of God's Word, he'll live"? Now, never was what He said. Did you notice, e-v-e-r... "every Word"? How does he live? "Oh, he eats in there"? **No, he's dying fast.** That's flesh. [Aha!] "Well, he belongs to church. [Oberbenrader Straße 407?] He believes everything but *That?*" **He's still dead.** Do you get it? (**Fett** nicht im Original von VOG)

*It is imperative that one understands what a vindicated Prophet is. He is the mouthpiece of God. If anybody doesn't understand that he will work out his own Message from the Message and will dig his own grave. How could we make use of Joel's army for example without him? We would have no idea that it represents Pentecost Two, the Ministry of Christ in the Bride. Each denomination will have its own interpretation thereof or none. Any one who is not familiar with this foundation ain't a Message believer at all. He remains to be denominational and this means according to § 212 **denominational antichrist.***

221 Now, after nineteen hundred years, with the church ages past, and all the things that He prophesied of Luther, Wesley, we just went through and seen it drawn out, and the moon come down and drew it out, and the Lord drew it on the board here for us, and showed it, and come down Himself and confirmed it to be right. After nineteen hundred years, and we're at the end of the Laodicea church age, He promised in Luke 17:30, that this same Son of man (He promised it.) would be revealed in the days like it was in Sodom upon the earth. Did He do it? Does it have to come to pass? It's impossible...

Now, remember, He come in three names: the Son of man, a prophet; the Son of God, the Spirit; the Son of David, for the millennium. **But in between, this conjunction, now according to His own Words, in the day when the Son of man shall be revealed, reveal Himself as what? Not Son of God, Son of man. Will reveal Hisself in a different way.** Now, what does that make? **Malachi 4**, exactly right. See? The Son of man will reveal Himself, not in a whole big denominations and things, as we've had through the ages, but **He would manifest Himself as Son of man again to make manifest Malachi 4.** "And in that day I will send to you Elijah the prophet, and he shall turn the hearts of the children back to the faith of the apostolic fathers, away **from all this denominationalism**, and come back to the **original**

Word again," to draw out that last day **Bride Tree** that He promised. "In the evening time it shall be Light," not through the misty day, it shall be light; it'll be a day can't be called day nor night. See, **it's making up the Body**. But the **same Head** that was here **in the east**, is here **in the west again**, "There shall be Light in the evening time." Oh, my, I feel like singing a song.

*Die Verbindung ist: Son of man - Mal 4 - Bride Tree - Same Head in the West;
Sohn des Menschen verbunden mit Mal 4, mit der Braut und mit dem Westen!*

222 And the Son of man is revealing Himself in the same power that He was (not down through the church age, through justification, sanctification, all these things here), **but the Son of man. Who is the Son of man? The Word. And the Word is quicker than, powerful than a two-edged sword**, and discerns the thoughts that's in the heart. What did He have to do? He has to prove that Word. What will He do? Notice of it when we see it happening, look at It in the same form that He was here in the beginning, the Pillar of Fire (My.), proving that He's Hebrews 13:8, said, "Jesus Christ is the same yesterday, today, and forever." What was it? Jesus Christ, the same yesterday, that was Christ that was in the wilderness with Moses. How many knows the Bible said that? Yesterday. That was Christ when Paul was speaking here today (You believe that?) in the New Testament. **Then the Son of man, the same Christ, in the last day**. See? All right. (Vgl. § 240 wo er den Begriff forever erklärt)

The Son of man is The Word, is Christ. It is tied up with Mal 4. How does it match to your heresies? Not a bit. How does it fit to your typological shadow? Not at all. THE WORD comes with Mal 4 preparing the BRIDE TREE. The Bride herself.

In closing § 235 www.nathan (236 at VOG)

Now, remember, that was not Jesus talking to Abraham there, that could discern the thoughts in Sarah's mind behind him. That was not Jesus; He had not yet been born. But it was a Man in human flesh, that Abraham called, "Elohim, the great Almighty," showing... **And Jesus said, "As it was in the days of Sodom," now watch close, "in the days of Sodom, so will it be at the coming of the Son of man, when the Son of man is being revealed."** Not no more as a church (See?), not no more;

the Bride's called. See? "In that day the Son of man will be revealed." What? To join the Church to the Head, unite, the marriage of the Bride.

The Bridegroom call will come right through this, when the Son of man will come down and come in human flesh to unite the two together. The Church has to be the Word; He is the Word, and the two unites together, and to do that **it'll take the manifestation of the revealing of the Son of man.** Not a clergyman. I--I don't know, I... Do you see what I mean?

See, it's Son of man, Jesus Christ, will come down in human flesh among us, and will make His Word so real that it'll unite the Church and Him as one, the Bride, and then she'll go Home to the wedding supper. Amen. She's already united (See?); we go to the wedding supper, not to the marriage. "Fill your flesh... self, of all the flesh of mighty men, 'cause the marriage of the Lamb has come." But the rapture is going to the wedding supper. When the Word here unites with the person, and they two become one, and then what does it do then? It manifests the Son of man again, not the church theologians, the Son of man. The Word and the Church becomes one. **Whatever the Son of man done, He was the Word, the Church does the same thing.**

Coming and Revealing two seperate things? See above!

The Bridegroom call not the Shout? See above!

No more Pentecost Two? See above!

You urgently have need of a review of all your teachings.

Remember, you're deceiving all your people being a **defrauded defrauder.**